



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	)	
Daniel Skorcz	)	Date: April 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2005
	)	
Serial No. 10/700,390	)	Group Art Unit: 3652
	)	
Filed: 03 November, 2003	)	Examiner: Gregory W. Adams
	)	
For: SWIVELING VALVE OPERATOR	)	
MOUNTING SYSTEM	)	
	)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir,

In response to the Examiner's First Office Action dated 12/16/2004 wherein the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-8 , the applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application based upon the arguments set forth below in the Discussion section.

**EXAMINER'S REMARKS**

**Information disclosure statement**

The Examiner states that 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office and that MPEP § 601A(1) states "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper". Finally, the Examiner states that unless the references have been cited by the Examiner on for PTO-892, they have not been considered by the Examiner.